

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/646,690	08/25/2003	Naoki Yoshida	0505-1234P	9964
	7590 07/19/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			PEDDER, DENNIS H	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004	<u>l</u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,690	YOSHIDA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
· _ · · · -	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ınce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		າ received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	t received.
Attachment(s)		
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4) L Interview	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	B/08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/04.	6) 🔲 Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,690

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"Mounting planes" lacks antecedent to "mounting plates", two distinct structures. See also claim 6.

Claim 7 lacks antecedent to "mounting portions", found in claim 6.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

医克里氏 医克里氏 医水囊 医乳囊 医乳毒素 医乳毒素 医乳毒素 医

- 4. Claims 1, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by any one of Yagasaki et al., Maruoka, and Nebu et al..
- 5. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Maruoka or Nebu et al..

See spacers 18 and 10, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,690 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 2-3, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Yagasaki et al., Maruoka and Nebu et al., each separately in view of Armstrong

"Integrally formed" is not limited to --one piece--, but conveys --attached-- per dictionary definitions.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in each of the references above bosses 60,62 as taught by Armstrong in order to protect the windshield from damage.

9. Claims 5, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Yagasaki et al., Maruoka and Nebu et al. each separately in view of Armstrong as applied to claims 2 and 9 above, and further in view of Vezza.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,690

Art Unit: 3612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in the referenced combinations above spacers 9 as taught by Vezza in order to space the windshield from its mounting structure in order to reduce frictional damage, for example.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 6-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,690

Art Unit: 3612

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner

7/13/04

Art Unit 3612

DHP 7/12/04